

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/010,433	12/07/2001	Karlheinz Haubennestel	1238.006US2	8353
21186 75	590 03/23/2004		EXAMINER	
SCHWEGMAN, LUNDBERG, WOESSNER & KLUTH, P.A.			MULLIS, JEFFREY C	
P.O. BOX 2938 MINNEAPOLI			ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER 1711	
MINNEALOE	o, 14111 55 102			

DATE MAILED: 03/23/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

PTO-90C (Rev. 10/03)

			14			
~_ ''	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Advisom, Action	10/010,433	HAUBENNESTEL ET AL.				
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Jeffrey C. Mullis	1711				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress			
THE REPLY FILED 28 January 2004 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to aviginal rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1 condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appea Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	void abandonment of this applica) a timely filed amendment whicl	ation. A proper repi n places the applica	y to a ation in			
PERIOD FOR RE	EPLY [check either a) or b)]					
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this a no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth later than SIX MONTHS from the mailin S FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF Th	g date of the final reject HE FINAL REJECTION.	on. See MPEP			
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period (fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Offitimely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 (c)	of extension and the corresponding amo the shortened statutory period for reply ice later than three months after the mai	ount of the fee. The app originally set in the final	ropriate extension Office action; or			
 A Notice of Appeal was filed on <u>28 January 2004</u>. Terror of Appeal was filed on <u>28 January 2004</u>. Terror of Appeal was filed on <u>28 January 2004</u>. 	Appellant's Brief must be filed wi R 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal o	thin the period set f of the appeal.	orth in			
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered b	ecause:					
(a) X they raise new issues that would require furth	er consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);				
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note I	pelow);					
(c) they are not deemed to place the application i issues for appeal; and/or	in better form for appeal by mate	erially reducing or si	mplifying the			
(d) they present additional claims without cancel	ing a corresponding number of f	inally rejected claim	ıs.			
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.						
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection	tion(s):					
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	I be allowable if submitted in a so	eparate, timely filed	amendment			
the application in condition for allowance by	The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.					
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendmen explanation of how the new or amended claims w	t(s) a)⊡ will not be entered or b rould be rejected is provided belo)□ will be entered ow or appended.	and an			
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:						
Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected:						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) app	proved or b) disapproved by	the Examiner.				

Jeffrey C. Mullis J Mullis Art Unit: 1711

10. Other: ____

9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s). _____.

Continuation of 2. NOTE: The Markush group in claim 1 was not previously presented and therefore further consideration and search would be required..

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The rejection under 35 USC 112-second paragraph has been maintained since applicants' amendment has not been entered. However applicants' amendment would have overcome the rejection under 35 USC 112, second paragraph. With regard to Legrand, patentees broadly disclose all elements of applicant's invention including applicants' percentages and molecular weights. While some selecting from the embodiments of Legrand are necessary to arrive at applicants polymer, such selection is within the teachings of Legrand. With regard to the elements in Legrand such as various sequences, monomers with reactive groups etc., such are not excluded from the instant claims. With regard to the remaining art relied upon, applicants argue that use of applicants' specific monomers are not taught. However, as applicants' after FINAL amendment has not been entered, the instant claims are not limited to such monomers.